BEFORE THE GUAM CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1

2

3

JOAQUIN L.G. TAYAMA,

Employee,

VS.

PORT AUTHORITY OF GUAM,

Management.

ADVERSE ACTION APPEAL CASE NO.: 16-AA27S

DECISION AND JUDGMENT

This matter came before the Civil Service Commission ("Commission") for Merit Hearings on January 17, January 19, January 24, and January 31, 2017, on Employee Joaquin L.G. Tayama's ("hereinafter Tayama or Employee") appeal from his Final Notice of Adverse Action. Tayama's adverse action was issued by Management Port Authority of Guam ("hereinafter Management or PAG") on September 12, 2016. Present for Management were General Manager, Joanne Brown, and counsel of record, Michael F. Phillips, of the Law Offices of Phillips & Bordallo, P.C. Also present were Employee, Joaquin L.G. Tayama, and his representative of record, Daniel R. Del Priore.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Rules and Regulations.

Joaquin L.G. Tayama vs. Port Authority of Guam Adverse Action Appeal Case No.: 16-AA27S Decision and Judgment

I. JURISDICTION

Title 4 of the Guam Code Annotated §4401, et seq., and the Port Authority of Guam's Personnel

The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to the Organic Act of Guam,

Page 1 of 5

Joaquin L.G. Tayama vs. Port Authority of Guam Adverse Action Appeal Case No.: 16-AA27S

Decision and Judgment

II. ISSUE

Did Management meet its burden of proof, that it was correct, by clear and convincing evidence, in suspending Tayama for five (5) days under the allegations set forth in Management's Notice of Final Adverse Action, issued and served on Employee on September 12, 2016?

III. FINDINGS OF FACT

- PAG Policy Memorandum No. 02-91 requires employees to immediately report any accident or damage to cargo, cargo handling equipment and other PAG property to management.
- 2. PAG Policy Memorandum No. 02-91 emphasizes the immediate reporting of any accident or damage to cargo, cargo handling equipment and other PAG property, to ensure specific procedures and responsibilities are timely enforced which include, (1) the timely investigation of the incident and all damages and (2) timely disciplinary action against PAG employees, if necessary.
- 3. PAG Policy Memorandum No. 02-91 also requires the immediate reporting of any accident or damage to cargo, cargo handling equipment and other PAG property to ensure employees submit to immediate alcohol and drug testing, pursuant to PAG's Drug Free Workplace Operating Procedures.
- 4. On Friday, July 15, 2016, at about 7PM, PAG Safety Officer, Mr. John Troy Santos discovered damages to the asphalt (hereafter "pavement") near PAG's CFS warehouse.
- 5. On July 15, 2016, PAG Police and PAG Safety Officers determined that no reports of the damage to the pavement near PAG's CFS warehouse were made to Management.

Decision and Judgment

16. Tayama placed an orange safety cone near the damaged pavement area after the incident occurred and testified that he set the cone himself in place because he intended to return at a later time to repair the damaged pavement.

- 17. Tayama was required to report the July 15, 2016, accident and the damage to the pavement pursuant to PAG Policy Memorandum No. 02-91.
- 18. Tayama failed to timely report the damage as required. Based on Tayama's failure to timely report the incident, Management was unable to strictly follow the timelines for implementing its disciplinary and alcohol and drug testing procedures to the Employee as required by PAG Policy Memorandum No. 02-91.

IV. CONCLUSION

Employee, Joaquin Tayama is a seasoned employee with twenty (20) years of service with the Port Authority of Guam ("Port"). Tayama is aware of the requirement and policy requiring he immediately report accidents and damages when they occur. The Commission appreciates Tayamas's work experience and expects Tayama to know the Port's Rules and Regulations relating to his job duties and responsibilities. As a seasoned Employee Tayama's failure to immediately report the damage caused to the asphalt violated PAG's Rules and Regulations. By a vote of 6-0, Management has proved by clear and convincing evidence that the Final Adverse Action is correct.

20 ||

21 ||

Joaquin L.G. Tayama vs. Port Authority of Guam Adverse Action Appeal Case No.: 16-AA27S Decision and Judgment

1 V. HOLDING 2 Management has proved by clear and convincing evidence that the Final Adverse Action issued on September 12, 2016, is appropriate and Employee's Appeal is hereby DENIED. 3 4 **THEREFORE**, by a vote of 5 to 1, the Civil Service Commission denied the Employee's 5 appeal. IT IS SO ADJUDGED this 10th day of RUGUST 6 7 8 **EDITH PANGELIN** 9 Chairperson Vice-Chairperson 10 11 JOHN SMITH Commissioner Commissioner 12 13 Not Present **CATHERINE GAYLE** 14 Commissioner

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Joaquin L.G. Tayama vs. Port Authority of Guam Adverse Action Appeal Case No.: 16-AA27S Decision and Judgment